App. F. IX-4

Previous References

1928.
(Jaffna)

File No. 303-28

Serial Nos. 1–6

Later References

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 6

Part B for Jan 1929

SUBJECT

Sale of the Gurka of certain Sevatha lands belonging to Sri Raghavendra Swamy and Rajendravarman at Sarikola Mulukalmunai Valigamam.

Ord 117 dated 17.7.29

To the Govt. Comr

The lands may be left in the possession of the Applicants on certain

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Pet. No. 1225

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE,

Bangalore, dated 25.12.1928.

The accompanying petition addressed to the Dewan is forwarded, with compliments, to the Secretary to Government, Financial Department.

From Rao Saheb V. Krishna Chetty, Adv. & other gentlemen of Bellary.

28/11/28

SECRET. 3 JAN 1929

MUZRAI 2990

Memo 303-28-1

By Order,

28/12/28

Private Secretary to the Dewan.

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

N.B.—Should [illegible] be written on small slips the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

26 MUZRAI

DEWAN'S OFFICE

D 1129

1929 Muz 303-28-2

Order

No. 1411

NAME OF PETITIONER Krishnachar Agent, Sri Raghavendra Swami Mutt

VILLAGE Sirikolagrama

TALUK Holakalmuru

DISTRICT Chitaldrug

DATE OF PETITION 13.1.29

DATE OF RECEIPT 23.1.29

NO. OF ENCLOSURES Nil

ABSTRACT.

Prays that the Sulta Sale of the Lands belonging to the Mutt may be ordered to be Cancelled and the Lands given to his possession to facilitate proper Conduct of Aradhana etc.

Submitted

Rao 24/1

Muzrai Secretary
With Compliments
B.O.
25/1/29

PRIVATE SECRETARY TO THE [illegible] OF MYSORE

Received on 26/1/29

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Pet. No. 1452

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE,

Bangalore, dated..... 26 ..... 1 ..... 1929.

The accompanying petition addressed to the Dewan is forwarded, with compliments, to the Secretary to Government,.....Mysore.....Department.

4

from Lakshmi Devamma & other Sevashidars.

REV SECRET
2 FEB 1929
MUZRAI

D 1163

Muz 303-28-3

By Order,

[illegible]

Private Secretary to the Dewan.

N B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

N B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. of 192 .

Serial No.

5. D/C. forwarding the petitioner's m.c for report, in submn for appl. or orders.

AV
6/2

6 to appd with petr's memo & forwarded for disposal with records if necessary.

6/2

(Illegible)

9/2

& files

5.2.29

9

1/2

4. To mur Coll No 1635 E, 5-2-29

2986
9/2.

4772

5. From, the m.c letter no 1344 dy 5th July 1929.

Submits the D.C's letter explaining the circumstances under which the temple lands came to be leased out, and reports that the D.C. is in favour of leasing these lands in the possession of the archaks alone which, the m.c. thinks, will satisfy the petrs.

Submr. orders solicited as D. wishes

AV
12.7.29

SUS
WW
23/2
7

NOTE—Contn Sheet

STD 01714—GPE—30,000—18 4 28

The temple lands may be left in the possession of the Brahmans subject to the usual condition of the regular conduct of worship as per prescribed scale etc.

Draft

AV 12.7.29.

Ist Member

12/7

DLW 12/7

11 A. Yes. The M.C. may be informed accordingly

Mudy 12.7.29

12 Secy

12/7

13 Ist Member.

AV 13.7.29. DLW 13/7

14

12/7

To the Engineer No 11 Dy 17-7-29

of Rates it at the Landowners the Govt possession of the Archaeological remains & temples public Govt lands. The public is prohibited

12 JULY 1929 No. 336

To

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE.
Date of Receipt.....
Petn. Regr. No. 1225

The, D E W A N of

M Y S O R E.

Humble petition of the residents of Jellary and other towns and villages of the Jellary District.

SECRETARIAT
MUZRAI
2990

Honoured Sir,

There is a temple of Sri Raghavendra Swami in Siresolam of Monakalmuru Taluk of Chitaldrug District of your province. On account of that temple the said place has become a place of pilgrimage. Many of the pilgrims perform marriages, thread-marriages, and other ceremonies at the place in honour of the said Sri Raghavendra Swami.

2. The hereditary priest of the place one Krishna Char generally looks to the comfort of the pilgrims, and even feeds them. On this account the pilgrims hold on account of their devotion to the temple and on account of the accommodating nature of the priest have endowed the temple with gifts of lands etc., till a condition that the said priest and his descendents should reside in the temple and enjoy the lands &c.

3. The priest Krishna Char with his unfatigable energy goes about begging in the surrounding villages of the Jellary District and utilises the proceeds and the grants of lands, etc., bestowed as gifts for the car festival and the feeding of the assembled pilgrims, rich and poor. From the amount so collected by going from door to door the said Krishna Char has vastly improved the building itself at a cost of nearly Rs. 4,000/-.

the Acharyas as the lands will fetch smaller lease amounts wh sold in auction than when the Acharya by his persuasiveness tries to influence the ryot and urges them to cultivate and pay as much of the proceeds as possible to the temple. In addition to there is no certainty that so much amount will be sanctioned for any particular purpose (the sanctioned amount often being paid over months after the necessity therefor); the priest also loses much of his enthusiasm which only results in much inconvenience to the pilgrim public by way of loss of all the conveniences which he used to afford for them.

5. Sirakolam as you know is a very small village about six miles from Rampur and one-and-a-half miles nearly by road from Thamarakkal. It is also about three miles from Somalapuram on the Rajahmundry Bellary line. The village itself is so small that it cannot afford even the necessaries of life if half a dozen Sannyasis come over without previous intimation. The Acharya worshipping at the temple with his usual foresight gets articles months before-hand from Bellary which is nearly 20 miles away and but for his good-will and foresight, many of the pilgrims will have to go over without a morsel of food. Under such circumstances, it is highly necessary that the temple lands which have been given by bhaktas should be left as usual to the management of the Acharya and not taken over by Government as now. If necessary the Government may depute some person to see that the money is properly spent.

6. It is prayed that you will kindly go over to the place during one of your tours and make personal inspection and try to redress the grievance the pilgrim public who it is that have endowed the temple.

Your petitioners beg to remain,
Honoured Sir,
Your most obedient servants.

Rao Saheb V. Krishnamachariar
Advocate, Bellary

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT OF MUSEUMS
MUZRAI
26

Date of receipt 26.1.29
1452
REVENUE SECRETARIAT
Wg 365.28-3
2
MUZRAI

To

The Dewan Saheb,

Mysore State, Bangalor-.

D 1163

Sir,

We the undermentioned five devotees of Sree Raghavendra Swami Brindavanam at Sirekolam, Kolakalmuruvu Talauk, beg to state as follows:-

The Brindavanam is an important place of Pilgrimage. Devotees come for worship from far and near. For their boarding and lodging convenience and for carrying on the daily worship of the temple, we purchased half acre in in S.No: 5 Wet land 1 acre-36 cents and have given it as a free gift to Mr: Krista Char in charge of the temple.

We are now told that the said land is taken over by the temple committee. By so doing it will not be possible to carry on the daily worship and to feed the worshipers.

We therefore pray that the said land may kindly be made over to Mr: Krista Char.

We beg to remain,

Sir,

Your most obedient servants,

Bellary.

Dated 24-1-1929

లక్ష్మీదేవమ్మ
శేతమ్మ
లక్ష్మీనారమ్మ
సునందమ్మో
రత్నమ్మ

Veda Shree Srinivasappa
Mandy Ramachar
Bellary

Appendix G—1

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Mur

File No. 303-28

Serial No. 4

No. 1635

Tree

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To

..... The ... Manager.....

Date of issue. 18-2-29

Signed by Dr. T. K. S. Iyer

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith for a very early report, the marginally noted petitions protesting against the sale of the patta of certain private Service Cardamoms of Sri Raghavendra Swamy Brindavanam at Sirekola in the Mulgat-Kurnool Taluk.

(1) D. 990 from Rao Saheb, V. Krishna Chetty, Adv. and other gentlemen of Bellary Distt.

(2) D. 1129 from Vaikunthan Krishnachar, &

(3) D. 1163 from Lakshmi Devamma and three Servants.

List of enclosures .....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Dist. Collector.

WD 0399—GPB—20,000—18-9-28

5 JUL 1929
No.

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, Ain...L...L...y..... .. 192 9.

No. 1384 Sm 7 dl
28-9

FROM

P. G. D'Souza, Esq., P.A., B.L.,
The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

6 JUL 1929
MUZRAI
1923 D 23
Inam, 303-28-5

To

P. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., P.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department.

SIR,

Adverting to your letter No.1655, Fin. 73-Sam 1 dated 15th February 1929 forwarding for favour of report the petition dated 2nd Dec Saheb W. K Innamdar, and to the Inquiry Diary of the Deputy Commissioner protesting against the sale of the lands of certain grantees of Sri Rajalakshmi Amma, Pattamahishi of Chitaldrug in the Molakalmuru Taluk, I have the honour to forward herewith the report No.M.Sc.251 of 28-29 dated 5th July of the Deputy Commissioner of Chitaldrug District on the subject with the petition and five enclosures noted in the margin, and to add that the Kaifiyats were duly made to the petitioners. The petitioner is in favour of leaving them in the possession of the lands they are in occupation of. I think this will meet the ends of justice.

The file of the Deputy Commissioner is returned herewith.

I have the honour to be,

SIR,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

Letter No.5 C.951/28-29 dated 13th June 1928 from the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District to the Muzrai Commissioner at Mysore

---

With reference to your memo No.1304/28-29 dated nil, calling for a report on the petitions from Rao Sahib V. Krishna Chetty and -- others, protesting against the sale of the kutta of certain private swantha lands ordered to Sri Rajendra Swami Brindavanam at Chikkola in the Molakalmuru Taluk, I have the honour to state that besides the 3 inam lands ordered to the institution by Government, the margin noted 5 unalienated - lands are stated to - belong to the institution. The Amildar of the Molakalmuru Taluk reports that S.Nos.1,2,76 and 73/1 were granted to the institution on payment of malki price, and that the portion of S.No.5 (1 a.35 gs.) -- standing in the name of one Basappa, was purchased by Lakshmidevamma and others swantheidars in relation to Archak Krishnachar as gift, for purposes of swantham in the institution, in consideration of his being in charge of the Brindavanam. The Kaifiat extract of the S. Nos. in - question, received from the Amildar, is enclosed in the vernacular / records. It is also reported that all the lands belonging to the --- institution were under the management of Archak Krishnachar.

Sirchola village.			Extent.		
1. S.No.1	3 a. 7 gs.	Nagayet & dry	7- 6- 0		
2. S.No.2	2 a.12 "	Nagayet	5- 0- 0		
3. S.No.5 (a portion)	1 a.35 "	Wet	10- 6- 0		
4. S.No.73/1	7a.1 gs.	Dry (temporary assessment)	2- 0- 0		
5. S.No.76	1a. 0 gs.	dry	2-12- 0		

It will be seen from the records, that the statement given by Archak Krishnachar before the Amildar on 30th October 1926 (paper No.1 in the vernacular file) that the inam lands might be taken under Government management, and the scales of income and expenditure fixed in the interest of the Brindavanam. The Sub Division Office, Chitaldrug ordered the leasing out of the inam lands in order No.G.M. 3993 dated 10th January 1927, and that the lease was granted in the name of one Kamradhi Mallappa on an annual rent of Rs.150/- for a period of 5 years from 1926-27. This being a minor institution, and the Amildar having recommended it, the Sub Division Officer also recommended the leasing out of the unalienated lands as well for fixing the scales of income and expenditure and it was approved in the Head office order No.A5 No.C.T.1997/28-29 dated 10th September 1928. The lease of the said lands was accordingly sold to one Gundacharya (son of Archak Krishnachar) for an annual rent of Rs.150/-.

Orders have been issued to the Sub Division Officer for submission of a scale plan of the income and expenditure and a -- list of the applicants for exemption. Seeing that the gutta amount in respect of the unalienated lands is so small, I am of opinion that the said lands may be vested in the Arcot and I shall order accordingly on your return of the records from you.

The original file of vernacular records relating with the ------- Chittoor Sub Division Officer's Memo No.R.L 1.R.542/28-29 dated 25th May 1929, together with the petitions received with the above in the form enclosed for favour of reference and early return.

"C o p y"

Rathnaswamy Mudaliar.

For Special Commissioner.

F G—1

SUBJECT..... .....

.....

.....

Section Lands

File No. 303 – 28

Serial No. 6

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

No. 117

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To

.... The A.G.A. .....

Date of issue.....17-2-29.....

Signed by .....

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Sir,

Adverting to your letter no. 1384 of 5th inst. 29 submitting the Chilaw D.C's letter of 13th June 1929 explaining the circumstances under which the lands ~~Sirikara Senaratna~~ Sri Kara Senaratna lands endowed to Sri Raghavendra Swamy Brindavana at Sirekola in the Mahakalmuna Pahatu, came to be leased out, and reporting that the D.C. is in favor of leaving these lands in the possession of the Arachchy over

C/o

are subject to ~~regulations~~ State that
lands in question may be
in the possession of the
Subject to the usual relative Conditions of the regular contract
of worship as per prescribed
Scale etc.
(2) The Petitioners may be appointed accordingly
(2) the records are returned

I have etc.

Sd/ [illegible] 15/7/77
Secty

Sd/ [illegible]
[illegible]

24/
7